

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box, 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/750,426	12/28/2000	Thomas J. Grimsley	XXT-073	7863	
7590 02/03/2004			EXAMINER		
Patrick R. Roche			KAO, CHIH	KAO, CHIH CHENG G	
	E, FAGAN, MINNICH &	McKEE, LLP			
1100 Superior Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
7th Floor			2882		
Cleveland, OH 44114-2579			DATE MAILED: 02/02/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			m
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/750,426	GRIMSLEY, THOMAS J.	
Advisory Addion	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Chih-Cheng Glen Kao	2882	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addr	ess
THE REPLY FILED 08 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this applic 1) a timely filed amendment whic al (with appeal fee); or (3) a time	ation. A proper reply th places the applicat	to a ion in
PERIOD FOR F	REPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY W/706.07(f).	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forther later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	ng date of the final rejectio	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the O timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	I of extension and the corresponding amoust the shortened statutory period for reply ffice later than three months after the ma	ount of the fee. The appro originally set in the final C	opriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 Cl	•		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:	•	•
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furt	ner consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);	i .	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sim	plifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		:	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ction(s):		ŧ
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) woul canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a so	eparate, timely filed a	mendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	o issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims were appeared to the control of			nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-23</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on <u>08 January 2004</u>	is a)⊠ approved or b)⊡ disapp	proved by the Examir	ner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	D	

SUPERVISORY PAPENT EXAMINER

10. Other: ____

Continuation of 2. NOTE: With regards to claims 2-6, these claims depend upon new limitations that have not been considered and/or searched in combination with their independent claim 1. With regards to claim 8, new issues include removing any portion of the first inter-filter layer in combination with all the limitations in the claim.